

X
Nov 30
1970

A proposal for the values of a call stack entry
and their manipulation by the return operations.

I) variables maintained in a stack entry

p-counter (PC)

p-writer modifier (PCM)

F-return count (FRN)

class code for subprocess at top of stack
^{pushy}
Top of stack class code

This proposal only concerns the first 3.

~~DATA~~

- A) The p-counter contains a non-negative integer ~~int~~
- B) The p-counter modifier has one of 3 values
 - i) about to execute instruction at address PC
 - ii) in the middle of a complicated XT instruction
at address PC
 - iii) almost finished with a complicated XT instruction
at address PC
- C) The F-return count ~~int~~ contains a non-negative integer

II) variables maintained in a sub process descriptor

BIT : interrupts armed

BIT : interrupts inhibited

BIT : interrupt waiting

D : interrupt datum

D! : error selection mask

III) Fancy return instruction

a) parameters as follows:

P10 ~~key~~ D: key

P2 D0: data params being returned, if any

P3 BC: capability params being returned, if any

P4 D: error class, if any

P5 D: error number, if any

P6 D: interrupt datum, if any

b) ~~the return action tests various bits in the key and performs actions as described below~~

i) interrupt bit

from

b) The return action tests various bits in the key and performs actions as described below. In what follows, the Top of stack entry is the one immediately below the stack entry for the subprocess executing the return operation.

ii) interrupt bit.

From, ~~when~~ a scan is started down the tree towards the root from the beginning w.r.t the subprocess at top of stack, looking for a subprocess

with interrupts armed, when one is found, ~~its interrupt~~
~~waiting~~ if it's interrupt waiting bit is on, no further action
for ~~this~~ interrupt. If off, it's interrupt waiting
bit is turned on and ~~precess~~ the interrupt
datums is placed in the subsequent interrupt
datums.

ii) return with previous b.t.

If on, the environment is restored to that of top of
stack, and appropriate parameter return actions
takes place.

iii) Return b.t.

If on, the F+return count is incremented by 1.

iv) repeat b.t.

If on, the counter modifier is set to, "about to execute
an instruction at address PC".

v) complete b.t.

If on, the counter modifier is set to, "almost finished with a
completed ~~next~~ instruction at address PC". (note that this
bit overrides the repeat b.t.)

vii) error b.t

If on, a scan is started down the tree towards the root beginning with the subprocess at top of stack, looking for a subprocess with the bit on in its error selection mask corresponding to the given error class. When one is found, the bit is turned off in its error selection mask and a new top of stack entry is made, pushing down the old top of stack entry. The new top of stack entry represents a call on the subprocess just located. i.e. its p-counter is set to the entry point of the ~~found~~ found subprocess. (-8)
PCM is set to "about to execute an instruction at address PC. Its F-return count is set to 0. Its class code is set to that of the found subprocess. Its top of path class code is set in the usual manner. The environment is now set to that of the new top of stack and the error class and number are placed in the appropriate locations.

Notice that the error s.t. is just one scanned since it may create a new statement. This could be avoided if the error info would be held in the subprocess descriptor as for interrupt, or hold in the stack entry itself.)

(c) ~~From the stack the return operation begins a scan towards the root~~

(d) ~~Now the return action starts a scan down the tree towards the root beginning with~~

(e) Now the return action examines the top of stack entry. (This is a new one if the error bit was on). It branches on the value of the PCM bit.

i) "about to execute an instruction at address PC"

a scan is started ~~towards the root~~ down the tree

towards the root beginning with the top of stack

subprocess looking for a subprocess with interrupt waiting bit on. If one is found, and ^{The found} subprocess is not the top of stack subprocess, or it is the top of stack subprocess and its interrupt inhibit bit is off, then the following takes place:

Interrupt waiting bit is turned off. Interrupt inhibited is turned on. A new top of stack entry is made as in the error bit case. The environment is set to that of the new top of stack. The interrupt datum is copied from the subprocess descriptor to the appropriate place in core. Finally we go to C) (hence C) ii) $[PC = interrupt - \delta]$

ii) "in middle of a complicated xt instruction at address pc"

~~(xt return
to where?)~~

Set the environment to the top of stack. From the xt instruction locate the appropriate operation. Now see if the operation has sufficient depth to handle the F-return instruction (0 is for 1st user, etc). If so, make a new stack entry as in error case, (set pc to entry point). Go to C) (here C) i) If not, set $\text{pc} = \text{pc} + 1$, set pcm to "abort to execute an instruction at address pc ", go to C) (here C) i)).

iii) "almost finished with a complicated xt instruction at address pc".

Set the environment to the top of stack. From the xt instruction compute the appropriate p-counter offset. If this is within range, set pc to the generator, set pcm to "abort to execute an instruction at pc" and go to C) (here C) i))

If this is not within range, generate the appropriate error, and do error cleanup in the error bit case. Then go to C) (asain, here C) i))

IV) our existing return instructions act same as RIS one
but w.Ris a subset of the specified params and
w.Ris fixed size. (would fix the unused params?)

A) ordinary return

only b.t.on is complete b.t. No params

B) F-return

only b.t.on is F-return. No params

C) error-return

only b.t.on is error-return. Err class and error number
are only params

D) return with params.

complete b.t and params b.t.'s are out, b.t.on,

Block data and Block capacity are only params,

E) special return

repeat b.t only b.t.on. No params

V) creation a call, want to make a new statement, set PC = entry pt,
PCM = "not to execute any instruction at PC" for procedure
in ~~II~~ II) C) ~~①~~ (here II) C)i))

~~VI~~ external interrupt.

A) an external interrupt arrives with a datum and a class code,

3 purposes

- i) The giving subprocess is examined. If interrupt waiting is on, nothing, else the datum is stored in the subprocess and interrupt waiting is turned on.
- ii) The giving subprocess is examined. If interrupt not armed, then nothing, else as in i)
- iii) ~~executes~~ a tree scan towards the root is started with the giving subprocess. If a subprocess is found with interrupt armed then proceed as in i). otherwise?

B) Now examine the process

- i) Hung on an event channel^(s), but yet received an event, change pc in top stack entry to "about to execute an instruction at pc". Set a signal in the process descriptor to unhang from the event channels. Reschedule the process.

ii) Hung on event channels, has received an event.

change PC in top stack entry to "almost finished
with complicated \rightarrow instruction at PC". [could have
been done by the event itself?]

iii) swapped out [\rightarrow quantum overflow?
don't know]

c) one further thing

when every process is swapped in, after removal from
any event channels, ~~and~~ a tree scan towards the root
starting with top of stack subprocess is done, looking
for a subprocess with interrupt waiting on ~~multiple~~.
If one is found, A check is made to see if it has interrupt
inhibit off or if it is not top of stack. If so, it is called
as in (c) i) of III),

VII) a number of unclear things

- a) start "for full"
- b) what if 2 subprocesses found with interrupt waiting? one has interrupt inhibited, etc.

hum. If 1st one gets called, as command then ensure that would find 2nd. So if 1st inhibited

I think they can start continue and find 2nd?

Also, they would fail if the top guy that did not have interrupt waiting, even if he had inhibit.

- c) what if no subprocess found w/ interrupt enabled?
- d) no subprocess found with error class 6iton in its mask?

VIII) need

Set interrupt inhibit

Clear interrupt inhibit

Set interrupt armed

Clear interrupt armed

- ## ~~IX~~)
- This description can probably be somewhat better substantiated especially the make new stack entry items